Member Meflections Reflections on the Work of ITF



2021-2022

How the ITF mirrored the larger community in so many ways, in its interpersonal dynamics, concerns, hopes, etc. What is your biggest takeaway from the experience of serving on iTF?

That this is a community that seeks security and fears the risks of change.

How hard it is to
How hard it is to
build a community
build a community
build a community
build a community
at the
build a community
at the
micro and macro
level.

CTS has a lot of work to do over a long term related to issues of equity, diversity, and inclusion.

conflict--it is healthy!

yes!

This is hard work collectively, interpersonally, and individually.
This kind of work requires reflection and intentional awareness at each of these levels.

The many layers
of organizational
of organizational
they
life and how they
intersect to create
conflict.

How much my own internal "stuff" gets weaved into my participation here. And how much internal work needs to be done alongside this.

How others feel about the Presbyterian denomination.

I changed my perspective about

The depth of pain surrounding issues of EDI.

The breadth of the divergence of views about CTS, its history its present, and its future

How justice is often in the eye of the beholder

How this work cannot
How this work cannot
Bet accomplished
arctional how
auickly and how
and h

Concern for the apparent level of distrust that exists between admin, faculty and staff, and the student body.

The variety of starting points that each of us have. The deep complexity of all that is going on within us individually and collectively.

l am more aware of ____.

The absolute necessity for the necessity for the people facilitating, people facilitating in leading, partnering in the change to be the change to be involved in a process like this.

How unconscious bias works in well-intentioned people.

The amount of lingering pain in our institution's members.

The need for breadth of the understanding of the regarding of the regarding purpose regardial purpose potential purpose and identity of crs

How diverse seminary constituencies (faculty, staff, students, alums, trustees) can experience the same reality in such different way.

The obstacle of my own fear of failure at this work--and the corresponding need for risk and vulnerability

I was surprised about

How the hard work in these conversations Sparked feelings of frustration and anger in me.

The depth of feeling about columbia columbia seminary.

The disconnect between the Board, administration, faculty, staff and students.

The fearfulness of some staff to speak up regarding their perspectives and experiences.

The frustration of Black members of ITF having to be in a role of educating white members.

How hard it was to keep students fully engaged as members of the ITF.

The depth of perception of "Presbyterian" as a problematic facet of Our identity.

Nurturing relationships and deepening trust in the midst of diverse backgrounds.

I would like to learn more about

How new seminary
leadership will
leadership to
continue to
continue to
embrace repairing
the breach.

Effectively using the levels of justice to frame/address/discuss the need for change.

How to prevent some of the problematic patterns we've discussed in the future.

Ways to have a larger community discussion about developing identity and a common sense of mission

I need to learn more about how to build trust within institutions

Reducing "white blindness", especially in the arenas of organizational justice.

That we trusted God more than we trusted ourselves and each other.

The commitment of all to stay in the conversation, no matter how fearful or difficult.

I am thankful for

That our

frustrations did

not cause us to

walk away from

the work.

this process.

The struggle!

The vulnerability it took everyone to participate in The hope and potential of better days ahead.